



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,578	03/14/2001	Hawley Rising III	SONY-50P3814.01	5897
7	590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2171	a
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	- 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

h

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/809,578	RISING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudenty and the set of the set of the set of the set of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 i	February 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri 	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Image and a constant	· /DTO 442\				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is responsive to Applicant's RCE request and amendment filed on February 17, 2004 (paper # 7 and 8).

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 17, 2004 has been entered. Claims 1-35 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Vaithilingam et al.</u> (hereinafter Vait) (U.S. Patent No. 6,411,724).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 1, 6, 10, 15, 19, 24, 28 and 32, <u>Vait</u> discloses a method/system of forming a semantic description for content data, comprising the steps of: (title)

Retrieving plurality of component semantic descriptions (col. 2, lines 50-64) stored remotely from the content data (col. 3, lines 28 and 29, and col. 7, lines 49-52) according to reference information associated with the content data; (fig. 1) and

Generating a semantic description for said content data using some component semantic descriptions and reference information associated with said content data (fig. 2, items 120 and 132), wherein said semantic description describes an underlying meaning of said content data (fig. 2, block 133 and 134).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 11, 12, 20, 21, 29 and 30, <u>Vait</u> discloses modifying and extracting one or more component semantic descriptions to generate the semantic description (col. 10, lines 33-36).

Regarding claim 4, 13, 22 and 31, <u>Vait</u> discloses combining one or more component semantic descriptions to generate the semantic description (col. 10, lines 26 and 27).

Regarding claim 5, 14 and 23, <u>Vait</u> discloses the method of claim 1 is performed in response to a request for said semantic description (col. 2, lines 39-49).

(Note: query is a request)

Art Unit: 2171

Page 4

Regarding claims 7, 8, 16, 17, 25, 26, 33 and 34, <u>Vait</u> discloses an internet network and using URIs to each component semantic description stored on the internet to facilitate access (col. 8, lines 47-52).

(Note: URL link along with a primary key identifier is equivalent to a URI's function)

Regarding claim 9, 18, 27 and 35, Vait discloses component semantic descriptions are stored in a control dictionary (col. 6, lines 58-65 and col. 7, lines 2-8).

(Note: a predefined, updateable standard notation stored in a controlled facility is a control dictionary)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on February 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments and responses are listed below.

Applicant argues on page 10 of the 2/17/2004 response that fig. 1 of Vait does not describe reference data associated with content data, further, "Vait does not describe generating a semantic description for content data using one or more component semantic descriptions and the reference information associated with the content data, wherein the semantic description describes an underlying meaning of the content data."

In response to Applicant's arguments, Examiner disagrees. It appears that the Applicants misunderstood Vait system. Vait uses and generates metadata for content data (see fig. 2).

Further, regarding metadata, it is notoriously well known in the computer art that metadata is

Art Unit: 2171

data about other data, thus metadata is the "reference" data of other data wherein the reference data partially describes the content data. Hence, Vait clearly discloses metadata (fig. 2).

The remaining independent claims 10, 19 and 28 contain the same subject matter as claim 1 and are therefore rejected on the same ground.

With respect to all the pending claims 1-35, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's assertion based on the discussion cited above, as such, Examiner maintains the same rejections.

Conclusion

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and amendments) that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF

March 22, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100